

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,989	09/26/2001	Roland N. Walker	10414.4	2969
21999 75	590 01/15/2003			
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE			EXAMINER	
60 EAST SOU			BAHTA, AB	
P O BOX 45120 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775)
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	\prec

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				XM_
	."	Application No.	Applicant(s)	#s
		09/964,989	WALKER, ROLAN	D N. 413
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Abraham Bahta	1775	
۔ Period foı	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence add	iress
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS FORM THIS FORM THE MATTER OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE MATTER OF THE OF THE MATTER OF TH	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, in unication. i) days, a reply within the statutory minimum tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 will, by statute, cause the application to become.	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely on MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 September 2001</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims			e merits is
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 1</u>	<u>6-20</u> is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.	
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌 (Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requiremer	ıt.	
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	• • •	•	
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed	l on is: a)□ approved b) disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
•	 Certified copies of the priority of 	documents have been received	l.	
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received	I in Application No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internate the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	·		application)
,	☐ The translation of the foreign lang			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo			
Attachment(s)			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	ΓΟ-948) 5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(: ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	

Art Unit: 1775

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 16-20, drawn to a method, classified in class 156, subclass 230.
- II. Claims 11-15, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 15.
- III. Claims 16-20, drawn to a system, classified in class 428, subclass 211.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as printing a desired image on a carrier providing an adhesive and a release liner to the carrier and attaching the carrier to an organic product via the adhesive.

Inventions Group II and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an image transfer medium on glass and





Art Unit: 1775

the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group I has separate utility such as transferring an image on a plastic product or glass. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Michael Kreiger on 11/08/02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 11-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-10 and 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CAR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.



Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Josephine Severn.

Josephine teaches handmade printable paper made from flowers or leaves. See pages 4-7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Josephine Severn a publication obtained from the INTERNET www.acay.com.au/~severn/handmade.htm.

Josephine teaches handmade printable paper made from flowers or leaves. See pages 4-7.

Regarding claims 12-13, Josephine does not require the flower to be a rose or a petal; however, it is the Examiner position that since the publication indicates that a flower or leaves





Art Unit: 1775

may be used to make a printable paper the skilled artisan would find it obvious to use a rose or a petal because a petal is the colored segment of an outer envelope of a flower composed of fused or separate petals and a rose is a flower according to Webster's Ii New Riverside University Dictionary. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an image or print on a rose or a petal because petals, flowers, leaves or roses may be used interchangeably

Regarding claim 14, the reference does not require an image such as character, a number, logo, picture, symbol, icon or trademark; however, since the reference teaches the image may include a design, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the desired image as a matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 15, patentability of product-by-process claims are based on the product itself even though such claims are limited and defined by the process. Thus, the product is unpatentable if it is the same as or obvious from the product of the prior art even if the prior product was made by a different process.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Croner USP 5,424,141 teaches a wood or a paper having an image thereon through a transfer medium technique. See col. 3, lines 7-11.

Art Unit: 1775

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Abraham Bahta at telephone number (703) 308-4412. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 11:30 AM -8:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Deborah, Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-3822.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Bahta

01/09/03

SUPER LIBERT EXAMINER

Page 6